

Standard Infection Control Precautions Literature Review: Hand Hygiene: Skin Care

Version: 4.0, July 2020
Owner/Author: Infection Control Team
Review date: Financial year 2022/23

DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

Key Information:		
Title:	Standard Infection Control Precautions (SICPs) Literature Review: Hand Hygiene: Skin Care	
Date Published/Issued:	10 July 2020	
Date Effective From:	10 July 2020	
Version/Issue Number:	4.0	
Document Type:	Literature Review	
Document status:	Final	
Author:	Name:	Jennifer Longstaff, Emma Hooker, Catherine Boswell (2019/20)
	Role:	Healthcare Scientist (Health Protection)
	Division:	HPS
Owner:	Infection Control	
Approver:	Susie Dodd	
Approved by and Date:	January 2016	
Contact	Name:	Infection Control Team
	Tel:	0141 300 1175
	Email:	nss.hpsinfectioncontrol@nhs.net

Version History:
This literature review will be updated in real time if any significant changes are found in the professional literature or from national guidance/policy.

Version	Date	Summary of changes	Changes marked
4.0	July 2020	<p>Update of the Hand Hygiene: Skin care literature review V3.0 using two-person NIPCM methodology.</p> <p>The research question set was expanded from the initial single research question 'How can skin integrity be maintained when performing hand hygiene in order to minimise the development of irritant contact dermatitis?'</p> <p>Recommendations have been added based on the literature which highlights the use of warm/tepid water; patting instead of rubbing</p>	

SICPs Literature Review: Hand Hygiene: Skin Care

Version	Date	Summary of changes	Changes marked
		hands dry; and the formulation of alcohol based hand rubs containing emollients.	
3.0	January 2016	Updated after review of current literature	
2.0	April 2014	Updated after review of current literature	
1.0	January 2012	Defined as final	

Approvals – this document requires the following approvals

Version	Date Approved	Name	Job Title	Division
1.0	January 2012	Steering (Expert Advisory) Group for SICPs and TBPs		
2.0	April 2014	Steering (Expert Advisory) Group for SICPs and TBPs		
3.0	January 2016	Steering (Expert Advisory) Group for SICPs and TBPs		
4.0	July 2020	National Policy Guidance and Outbreaks Steering and Consensus groups		

SICPs Literature Review: Hand Hygiene: Skin Care

HPS ICT Document Information Grid	
Description:	This literature review examines the available professional literature on Hand Hygiene (Skin care) in health and care settings.
Purpose:	To inform the Standard Infection Control Precaution (SICP) section on hand hygiene (Skin care) in the National Infection Prevention and Control Manual.
Target audience:	All NHS staff involved in the prevention and control of infection in NHSScotland.
Circulation list:	Infection Control Managers, Infection Prevention and Control Teams, Public Health Teams.
Update/review schedule:	Updated as new evidence emerges with changes made to recommendations as required
Cross reference:	National Infection Prevention and Control Manual http://www.nipcm.scot.nhs.uk/
Update level:	Change to practice – No significant change to practice Research – No significant change

Contents

1. Objectives	6
2. Methodology	6
3. Discussion	6
3.1 Implications for practice.....	6
3.2 Implications for research	8
4. Recommendations	9
References.....	11
Appendix 1	15

1. Objectives

The aim of this review is to examine the extant scientific literature regarding skin care for standard infection control purposes. The specific objectives of the review are to determine:

- How should ABHR or liquid soap be used in order to maintain skin integrity?
- Which products should be used in order to maintain skin integrity and minimise the development of contact dermatitis?
- What is an emollient?
- When should moisturising agents be used in order to maintain skin integrity?
- How should moisturising agents be used in order to maintain skin integrity?

2. Methodology

This systematic literature review was produced using a defined methodology as described in the [National Infection Prevention and Control Manual: Methodology](#).

3. Discussion

3.1 Implications for practice

How should ABHR or liquid soap be used in order to maintain skin integrity?

Irritant contact dermatitis and dry skin is often reported by healthcare workers following contact with irritants such as hand washing solutions.^{1, 2} Hand washing products contain surfactants which remove dirt from the surface of the skin however they can also compromise the lipid barrier of the skin leading to dryness, redness and irritation.³⁻⁶ High frequency of hand washing with soap has been associated with worsening skin condition or eczema.⁷⁻⁹ Additionally, repeated exposure to hot water may increase the risk of dermatitis and as such warm/tepid water should be used for hand washing.^{1, 3, 9, 10} When drying hands after washing, it is recommended that hands are patted with paper towels instead of rubbed to avoid irritation of the skin.³

Allergic contact dermatitis is a less common condition associated with hand hygiene products and is caused by a reaction to one of the ingredients (e.g. fragrances, preservatives).² Many of the symptoms of allergic contact dermatitis (with the exception of severe cases where it has been associated with respiratory distress and other symptoms of anaphylaxis) are similar to those of irritant contact dermatitis, therefore making it difficult to differentiate without specialist knowledge.²

Alcohol based hand rubs (ABHRs) containing emollients should be used for hand hygiene instead of liquid soap and water when hands are not visibly soiled or dirty and when spore-forming organisms are not suspected or confirmed.^{1, 5, 9, 11-13} Several experimental studies have demonstrated that ABHR is generally well tolerated following repetitive exposure over the study period¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and trials conducted within health and care settings have demonstrated high user acceptability and tolerability of ABHR.¹⁷⁻²² The use of ABHRs for hand hygiene has been found to cause less irritation than frequent hand washing with soap.^{5, 9, 10, 13, 22} ABHRs for routine hand hygiene have been found to be more effective at improving or maintaining skin condition when compared with frequent washing with soap and water.^{7, 15-17, 19} The introduction of ABHR use has also been found to reduce the number of undesirable effects on the skin of healthcare workers.²³

There is consensus in the literature that hands should not be washed immediately after using ABHR.^{1, 3, 5, 9-11} This procedure may remove any emollients that were present in the hand rub along with superficial skin sebum, thus leading to potential skin deterioration.

Which products should be used in order to maintain skin integrity and minimise the development of contact dermatitis?

It is recommended that formulations of ABHRs used in health and care settings should contain emollients.^{1, 9, 13} It has been demonstrated that inclusion of emollients in ABHR formulations is beneficial to skin condition.^{14, 24} There is limited evidence to suggest that glycerol emollients result in better skin condition than isopropyl emollients.²⁰

There is lack of high quality evidence in the literature;^{25, 26} however the use of emollient and barrier hand creams has been found to prevent loss of skin integrity caused by hand washing.^{6, 27} Additionally, hand lotions and creams containing humectants can improve skin condition as they increase skin hydration and replace altered or removed lipids.^{5, 13}

Another aspect that must be considered when introducing hand creams is their effect on the efficacy of hand hygiene products (e.g. antiseptic agents) or the integrity of gloves (oil-based products are known to have a potentially damaging effect on gloves).^{2, 5, 10, 11}

Studies have shown that various hand lotions and creams have no significant impact on the efficacy of the hand hygiene products studied.²⁸⁻³⁰ However, it is recommended that the potential effects on product efficacy should be considered when implementing the use of hand lotions and emollients and appropriate products should be selected accordingly.²⁸⁻³⁰

What is an emollient?

The term “emollient” is often incorrectly used to describe a “moisturiser”.¹³ There are three main moisturising agents which are emollient, humectant and occlusive agents. The properties of these agents are shown in Appendix 2. Emollient agents improve hydration of the skin by replacing lost water; humectants agents increase water absorption by bonding with water.

molecules; and occlusive agents form an inert layer on the skin to reduce trans-epidermal water loss. Moisturisers often contain a combination of these three moisturising agents.¹³

When should moisturising agents be used in order to maintain skin integrity?

Barrier creams and a lotions have been found to improve skin integrity.²⁷ However, barrier creams are not recommended for use by HCWs in health and care settings.¹³

There is consensus in published guidelines and in the literature that emollient hand creams should be used regularly, for example when off duty and during breaks, to prevent irritant contact dermatitis caused by frequent hand hygiene.^{1-4, 10, 13, 31, 32}

How should moisturising agents be used in order to maintain skin integrity?

There is a lack of high quality evidence regarding the application technique of emollients. However studies and guidelines are consistent in their recommendations that when hand creams are applied they should cover all of the hands including between the fingers and the backs of the hands.^{1, 3-5, 9, 11, 12, 27} Communal tubs and other containers of hand cream should not be used as these have the potential to become easily contaminated.¹ Additionally, to reduce the risk of contamination, dispensers which are refilled are not recommended.¹³

3.2 Implications for research

Further research, of robust methodological quality, on interventions to minimise irritant contact dermatitis among healthcare workers would increase the evidence base, facilitating the development of stronger recommendations for practice.

4. Recommendations

This review makes the following recommendations based on an assessment of the extant scientific literature regarding skin care for standard infection control purposes:

How should ABHR or liquid soap be used in order to maintain skin integrity?

Alcohol based hand rubs containing emollients should be used for hand hygiene instead of liquid soap and water when:

- hands are not visibly soiled, dirty; and/or
- spore forming organisms are not suspected/proven.

(Category B recommendation)

Hands should not be washed immediately after using ABHR as this may remove any emollients that were present in the hand rub and the superficial skin sebum.

(Category B recommendation)

Warm/tepid water should be used for hand washing to prevent dermatitis and maintain skin integrity.

When drying hands after washing, hand should be patted with a paper towel instead of rubbed to avoid irritation of the skin.

(Category C recommendation)

Which products should be used in order to maintain skin integrity and minimise the development of contact dermatitis?

Alcohol based hand rubs used for hand hygiene should contain emollients in their formulation.

(Category B recommendation)

Emollient hand creams should be used to maintain skin integrity and minimise the development of contact dermatitis.

(Category B recommendation)

Emollient hand creams used in the health and care setting must not affect the efficacy of the hand hygiene products or gloves used (oil-based products are known to have a potentially damaging effect on gloves).

(Category B recommendation)

When should moisturisers be used in order to maintain skin integrity?

Emollient hand creams (moisturisers) should be used regularly after washing hands (e.g. when off duty, going for breaks).

(Category B recommendation)

Barrier creams are not recommended for use by healthcare workers in health and care settings.

(Category B recommendation)

How should moisturisers be used in order to maintain skin integrity?

Hand moisturisers should be applied all over the hands including between the fingers and the back of the hands.

(Category B recommendation)

Dispensers which are refilled should not be used.

(Category B recommendation)

Communal tubs of hand cream should not be used.

(Category C recommendation)

References

1. Kampf G and Löffler H. Prevention of irritant contact dermatitis among health care workers by using evidence-based hand hygiene practices: A review. *Industrial Health* 2007; 45: 645-652. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.45.645>.
2. World Health Organization. *WHO Guidelines on hand hygiene in health care: first global safety challenge clean care is safer care*. 2009. Geneva: WHO.
3. Bissett L. The role of skin care in preventing infection. *Nursing and Residential Care* 2009; 11: 281-285.
4. Kownatzki E. Hand hygiene and skin health. *J Hosp Infect* 2003; 55: 239-245. 2003/11/25.
5. Larson E, Girard R, Pessoa-Silva CL, Boyce J, Donaldson L and Pittet D. Skin reactions related to hand hygiene and selection of hand hygiene products. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2006; 34: 627-635.
6. Williams C, Wilkinson SM, McShane P, Lewis J, Pennington D, Pierce S and Fernandez C. A double-blind, randomized study to assess the effectiveness of different moisturizers in preventing dermatitis induced by hand washing to simulate healthcare use. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2010; 162: 1088-1092. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2010.09643.x>.
7. Chamorey E. MP, Dandine M., Veyres P., Negrin N., Vandebos F., Duval M., Lambert S., Mazzoni L., Chapuis V., Bodokh I. and Sacleux P. A prospective multicentre study evaluating skin tolerance to standard hand hygiene techniques. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2011; 39: 6-13.
8. Hamnerius N, Svedman C, Bergendorff O, Bjork J, Bruze M and Ponten A. Wet work exposure and hand eczema among healthcare workers: a cross-sectional study. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2018; 178: 452-461. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjd.15813>.
9. Kampf G and Löffler H. Dermatological aspects of a successful introduction and continuation of alcohol-based hand rubs for hygienic hand disinfection. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 2003; 55: 1-7.
10. Boyce JM and Pittet D. Guideline for hand hygiene in health-care settings: recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology* 2002; 23: S3-40.
11. Pittet D, Allegranzi B and Boyce J. The world health organization guidelines on hand hygiene in health care and their consensus recommendations. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2009; 30: 611-622. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/600379>.

12. Loveday HP, Wilson JA, Pratt RJ, Golsorkhi M, Tingle A, Bak A, Browne J, Prieto J, Wilcox M and Health UKDo. epic3: national evidence-based guidelines for preventing healthcare-associated infections in NHS hospitals in England. *J Hosp Infect* 2014; 86 Suppl 1: S1-70. 2013/12/18. DOI: 10.1016/S0195-6701(13)60012-2.
13. Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario) Recommendations for the Prevention, Detection and Management of Occupational Contact Dermatitis in Health Care Settings. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2019.
14. Kampf G, Wigger-Alberti W, Schoder V and Wilhelm KP. Emollients in a propanol-based hand rub can significantly decrease irritant contact dermatitis. *Contact Dermatitis* 2005; 53: 344-349. 2005/12/21. DOI: 10.1111/j.0105-1873.2005.00727.x.
15. Pedersen LK, Held E, Johansen JD and Agner T. Less skin irritation from alcohol-based disinfectant than from detergent used for hand disinfection. *Br J Dermatol* 2005; 153: 1142-1146. 2005/11/26. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2005.06875.x.
16. Slotosch CM, Kampf G and Loffler H. Effects of disinfectants and detergents on skin irritation. *Contact Dermatitis* 2007; 57: 235-241. 2007/09/18. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0536.2007.01200.x.
17. Boyce JM, Kelliher S and Vallande N. Skin irritation and dryness associated with two hand-hygiene regimens: soap-and-water hand washing versus hand antiseptics with an alcoholic hand gel. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2000; 21: 442-448. 2000/08/05. DOI: 10.1086/501785.
18. Kramer A, Bernig T and Kampf G. Clinical double-blind trial on the dermal tolerance and user acceptability of six alcohol-based hand disinfectants for hygienic hand disinfection. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 2002; 51: 114-120.
19. Larson EL, Aiello AE, Bastyr J, Lyle C, Stahl J, Cronquist A, Lai L and Della-Latta P. Assessment of two hand hygiene regimens for intensive care unit personnel. *Critical Care Medicine* 2001; 29: 944-951. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00003246-200105000-00007>.
20. Pittet D, Allegranzi B, Sax H, Chraïti MN, Griffiths W, Richet H and World Health Organization Global Patient Safety Challenge Alcohol-Based Handrub Task F. Double-blind, randomized, crossover trial of 3 hand rub formulations: fast-track evaluation of tolerability and acceptability. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology* 2007; 28: 1344-1351.
21. Winnefeld M, Richard MA, Drancourt M and Grob JJ. Skin tolerance and effectiveness of two hand decontamination procedures in everyday hospital use. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2000; 143: 546-550.

22. Visscher MO and Randall Wickett R. Hand hygiene compliance and irritant dermatitis: a juxtaposition of healthcare issues. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 2012; 34: 402-415. 2012/06/14. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2494.2012.00733.x.
23. Souweine B, Lautrette A, Aumeran C, Benedit M, Constantin JM, Bonnard M, Guelon D, Amat G, Aublet B, Bonnet R and Traore O. Comparison of acceptability, skin tolerance, and compliance between handwashing and alcohol-based handrub in ICUs: results of a multicentric study. *Intensive Care Medicine* 2009; 35: 1216-1224. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-009-1485-5>.
24. Birnbach DJ, McKenty NT, Rosen LF, Arheart KA, Everett-Thomas R and Lindsey SF. Does Adherence to World Health Organization Hand Hygiene Protocols in the Operating Room Have the Potential to Produce Irritant Contact Dermatitis in Anesthesia Providers? *Anesthesia and analgesia* 2019; 17. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000004112>.
25. Bauer A, Schmitt J, Bennett C, Coenraads PJ, Elsner P, English J and Williams HC. Interventions for preventing occupational irritant hand dermatitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010: CD004414. 2010/06/18. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004414.pub2.
26. Smedley J, Williams S, Peel P, Pedersen K and Dermatitis Guideline Development G. Management of occupational dermatitis in healthcare workers: a systematic review. *Occup Environ Med* 2012; 69: 276-279. 2011/10/29. DOI: 10.1136/oemed-2011-100315.
27. McCormick RD, Buchman TL and Maki DG. Double-blind, randomized trial of scheduled use of a novel barrier cream and an oil-containing lotion for protecting the hands of health care workers. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2000; 28: 302-310.
28. Heeg P. Does hand care ruin hand disinfection? *Journal of Hospital Infection* 2001; 48 Suppl A: S37-39.
29. Harnoss JC, Brune L, Ansorg J, Heidecke CD, Assadian O and Kramer A. Practice of skin protection and skin care among German surgeons and influence on the efficacy of surgical hand disinfection and surgical glove perforation. *BMC infectious diseases* 2014; 14: 315. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-14-315>.
30. Paula H, Hubner NO, Assadian O, Bransmoller K, Baguhl R, Loffler H and Kramer A. Effect of hand lotion on the effectiveness of hygienic hand antisepsis: Implications for practicing hand hygiene. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2017; 45: 835-838. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2017.05.020>.
31. Health and Safety Executive. Work-related contact dermatitis in the health services, <http://www.hse.gov.uk/skin/employ/highrisk/healthcare.htm> (2019).
32. Williams C, Wilkinson M, McShane P, Pennington D, Fernandez C and Pierce S. The use of a measure of acute irritation to predict the outcome of repeated usage of hand soap

products. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2011; 164: 1311-1315.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2011.10246.x>.

Appendix 1

Final recommendations are given a grade to highlight the strength of evidence underpinning them, the NIPCM grades of recommendations are as follows:

Grade	Descriptor	Levels of evidence
Mandatory	'Recommendations' that are directives from government policy, regulations or legislation	N/A
Category A	Based on high to moderate quality evidence	SIGN level 1++, 1+, 2++, 2+, AGREE strongly recommend
Category B	Based on low to moderate quality of evidence which suggest net clinical benefits over harm	SIGN level 2+, 3, 4, AGREE recommend
Category C	Expert opinion, these may be formed by the NIPC groups when there is no robust professional or scientific literature available to inform guidance.	SIGN level 4, or opinion of NIPC group
No recommendation	Insufficient evidence to recommend one way or another	N/A