



Version: 1.0

Owner/Author: Infection Control Team

**Review Date:** October 2015





#### **DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET**

Key Information:						
Title:	Transmission	Transmission Based Precautions Literature Review: Environmental				
	decontamin	decontamination and terminal cleaning				
Date Published/Issued:	June 2014					
Date Effective From:	June 2014					
Version/Issue Number:	1.0	1.0				
Document Type:	Literature R	Literature Review				
Document status:	Final					
Author:	Name:	Laura Macdonald				
	Role:	Healthcare Scientist				
	Division:	HPS				
Owner:	Infection Co	Infection Control				
Approver:	Lisa Ritchie	Lisa Ritchie				
Approved by and Date:						
Contact	Name:	Infection Control Team				
	Tel:	0141 300 1175				
	Email:	nss.hpsinfectioncontrol@nhs.net				
File Location:	File Location:					

Versi	Version History:					
This lit	This literature review will be updated in real time if any significant changes are found in the professional literature					
or from	or from national guidance/policy.					
Versio	Version Date Summary of changes Changes marked					
1.0		June 2014				

Distribution – this document has been distributed to:					
Version Date of Issue Name Job Title Division					

	Approvals – this document requires the following approvals (in cases where signatures are required add an additional 'Signatures' column to this table)::				
Version Date Approved		Name	Job Title	Division	
		Steering (Expert			
		Advisory) Group for			
		SICPs and TBPs			

Linked Documentation:			
Document title Document Filepath			
National Infection Prevention and			
Control Manual			





HPS ICT Document	Information Grid				
Title:	Transmission Based Precautions Literature Review: Environmental decontamination and terminal cleaning.				
Purpose:	To inform the Transmission Based Precautions chapter in the National Infection Prevention and Control Manual on environmental decontamination and terminal cleaning in order to facilitate the prevention and control of HAIs in NHSScotland hospital settings.				
Target audience:	All NHS Scotland staff involved in the prevention and control of infection in the hospital setting.				
Circulation list:	Infection Control Managers, Infection Prevention and Control Teams, Public Health Teams				
Description:	This literature review examines the available professional literature on environmental decontamination and terminal cleaning.				
	For the purposes of this review the hospital setting is deemed to include: all services, clinics, or departments in the primary, secondary and tertiary hospital settings – for example, Specialised Centres, District General, Ambulatory Care, Day, Community, Children's.				
	The review did not examine primary care settings, for example, Health Centres, GP surgeries, General Dental Practices, or patient's own homes.				
Update/review schedule:	HPS TBPs are updated in real time with changes made to recommendations as required.				
Cross reference:	Standard Infection Control Precautions (SICP) Literature Review: Routine cleaning of the environment in the hospital setting <a href="http://www.hps.scot.nhs.uk/haiic/ic/publicationsdetail.aspx?id=50113">http://www.hps.scot.nhs.uk/haiic/ic/publicationsdetail.aspx?id=50113</a>				
	Standard Infection Control Precautions (SICP) Literature Review: Management of blood and body fluid spillages in the hospital setting <a href="http://www.hps.scot.nhs.uk/haiic/ic/publicationsdetail.aspx?id=50110">http://www.hps.scot.nhs.uk/haiic/ic/publicationsdetail.aspx?id=50110</a>				
Feedback/contact details:	nss.hpsinfectioncontrol@nhs.net				
Update level:	Practice – Initial review therefore currently not applicable				
	Research – Initial review therefore currently not applicable				





#### **Contents:**

1.	Object	tives	5
	-	mmendations	
3.	Discu	ssion	9
	3.1	Implications for research	.14
Ref	erence	s	. 15
		1: Tasks required for daily clean isolation room clean (adapted from NHS Scotland leaning Services Specification)	





#### 1. Objectives

The aim is to review the extant scientific literature regarding environmental decontamination and terminal cleaning in isolation rooms/cohort areas to form evidence based recommendations for practice. The specific objectives of the review are to determine:

- How should an isolation room/cohort area be decontaminated?
- When should an isolation room/cohort area be decontaminated?
- What is a terminal clean and why is it required?
- When should terminal cleaning be carried out?
- What additional steps are required for a terminal clean?

#### Note:

Transmission Based Precautions (TBPs) are measures that may be required <u>in addition</u> to Standard Infection Control Precautions (SICPs). It is assumed, for the purpose of this literature review, that all SICPs are adhered to, and therefore are not the focus of this literature review and the associated recommendations.





#### 2. Recommendations

This review makes the following recommendations based on an assessment of the extant scientific literature on environmental decontamination of an isolation/cohort area:

#### How should an isolation room/cohort area be decontaminated?

The NHSScotland National Cleaning Services Specification should be followed with respect to the decontamination of the isolation room/cohort areas.

#### (Mandatory Requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

Isolation rooms/cohort areas should be decontaminated by starting from the highest to the lowest point and from the least contaminated to the most contaminated, changing cleaning equipment/solutions when they become soiled.

#### (Good practice point (GPP))

Neutral detergent followed by a disinfectant containing 1000 parts per million (ppm) available chlorine (av cl) (or a combined detergent/disinfectant (1000ppm av cl)) should be used for decontamination of isolation rooms/cohort areas.

#### (AGREE rating: Recommend)

Manufacturer instructions should be followed with regards to the preparation of disinfectants and contact time required for effective disinfection.

#### (Good Practice Point (GPP))

Only cleaning products supplied by employers should be used. Cleaning products should be used in accordance with Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations and manufacturer instructions.

#### (Mandatory Requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

Environmental decontamination should be thorough and include all frequently touched surfaces.

#### (Grade D recommendation)





Any new products/technologies for decontamination of isolation rooms/cohort areas should be formally assessed (including cost, benefit, potential hazards and user safety) before they are adopted for application in NHSScotland (e.g. via the <a href="Health Innovation Procurement">Health Innovation Procurement</a> <a href="Portal">Portal</a> or HAI Commodities Group).

(Good Practice Point (GPP))

#### When should an isolation room/cohort area be decontaminated?

The NHSScotland cleaning specification should be followed with regards to cleaning frequency of isolation rooms within NHSScotland i.e. at least once a day.

(Mandatory Requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

Cleaning frequency should be increased at times of potentially high environmental and equipment contamination, and should be particularly targeted at frequently touched surfaces.

(Good Practice Point (GPP))

#### What is a terminal clean and why is it required?

A terminal clean is defined as: "a procedure required to ensure that an area has been cleaned/decontaminated following discharge of a patient with an infection (i.e. alert organism or communicable disease) in order to ensure a safe environment for the next patient."

(Mandatory Requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

#### When should terminal cleaning be carried out?

Terminal cleaning should be carried out after a patient with an alert organism or communicable disease has been discharged (or transferred), in order to ensure a safe environment for the next patient.

(Mandatory requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)





#### What additional steps are required for a terminal clean?

The NHSScotland National Cleaning Services Specification should be followed with respect to terminal cleaning.

(Mandatory Requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

Bed screens, curtains and bedding should be removed prior to the room/area being decontaminated.

(Good practice point (GPP))





#### 3. Discussion

#### How should an isolation room/cohort area be decontaminated?

The NHSScotland National Cleaning Services Specification outlines the tasks required for decontamination of isolation room/cohort area (see <u>Appendix 1</u>). <sup>1</sup>

The NHSScotland National Cleaning Services Specification should be followed with respect to the decontamination of isolation rooms/cohort areas.

(Mandatory Requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

The room should be decontaminated from the highest to the lowest point (e.g. curtain rails to floors) and from the least contaminated to the most contaminated (i.e. from infrequently touched surfaces to surfaces such as shower and toilet areas), changing cleaning equipment/solutions when they become soiled.<sup>2</sup>

Isolation rooms/cohort areas should be decontaminated by starting from the highest to the lowest point, and from the least contaminated to the most contaminated, changing cleaning equipment/solutions when they become soiled.

(Good practice point (GPP))

When the environment is potentially contaminated, disinfectants such as sodium hypochlorite must be used.<sup>1-8</sup> For disinfectants to work effectively, the surface being decontaminated must be free from organic soil. A neutral detergent solution should be used to clean the environment prior to disinfection or a combined detergent /disinfectant may be used.<sup>9-12</sup>

There is substantial evidence to support the effectiveness of hypochlorite solutions (1000ppm) and sodium dichloroisocynaurate (NaDCC) for the disinfection of surfaces contaminated with norovirus or *C. difficile*. <sup>5;6;8-10;13-16</sup> The effectiveness of disinfectants as part of control measures during outbreaks of other pathogens has also been widely reported. <sup>6;11;15;17-25</sup>

Neutral detergent followed by a disinfectant containing 1000 parts per million (ppm) available chlorine (av cl) (or a combined detergent/disinfectant (1000ppm av cl)) should be used for decontamination of the isolation room/cohort area

(AGREE rating: Recommend)





Only cleaning products supplied by employers should be used and the solution should be prepared in accordance to manufacturer instructions and local policy. Cleaning products are covered by Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations and are subject to risk assessment before use.<sup>26</sup>

Manufacturer instructions should be followed with regards to the preparation of disinfectants and contact time required for effective disinfection.

(Good Practice Point (GPP))

Only cleaning products supplied by employers should be used. Cleaning products should be used in accordance with Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations and manufacturer instructions.

(Mandatory Requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

Several studies indicate that cleaners may miss or fail to adequately clean certain frequently touched objects in the patient environment such as door handles, toilet handles and light switches.<sup>27-31</sup> This is thought to reflect a ritualistic rather than a risk based approach to cleaning.<sup>32</sup> The risks of HAI resulting from inadequate cleaning have been widely discussed.<sup>4;11;15;17;24;25;33-42</sup> It can therefore be concluded that adequate cleaning procedures must be in place. It is important to ensure that environmental decontamination is thorough and includes all frequently touched surfaces to reduce the risk of acquiring infections from the environment.

Environmental decontamination should be thorough and include all frequently touched surfaces.

#### (Grade D recommendation)

A variety of novel disinfection methods have been described in the literature including; hydrogen peroxide vapour (HPV)/mist<sup>43-56</sup>, microfibre cloths<sup>57-61</sup>, ultraviolet (UV) disinfection<sup>62-64</sup>, steam cleaning<sup>65</sup>, novel disinfectants<sup>66;67</sup>, disinfectant/sporicidal wipes<sup>68;69</sup>, and bactericidal surface coatings<sup>70;71</sup>. However, a recent study comparing eight different methods of terminal disinfection including microfibre, steam, HPV and chlorine releasing agents concluded that use of chlorine releasing agents was as effective as more expensive methods such as HPV.<sup>72</sup> There are also several practical and health and safety issues associated with the use of novel disinfection





methods such as time required; requirement for rooms to be cleaned with detergent prior to use of the novel methods; and in the case of hazardous cleaning methods, the requirement for rooms to be vacant during the decontamination process. While some novel technologies are currently being used within the NHS (e.g. microfibre and steam cleaning)<sup>1</sup>, further research is required to adequately assess these in terms of efficacy, cost, potential hazards, and user safety. It is therefore concluded that there is currently insufficient evidence to formulate recommendations on the use of novel decontamination methods. Any new products/technologies should be formally assessed (e.g. cost, benefit, potential hazards and user safety) before they are adopted for application in NHSScotland (e.g. via the Health Innovation Procurement Portal or HAI Commodities Group).

Any new products/technologies for decontamination of isolation rooms/cohort areas should be formally assessed (including cost, benefit, potential hazards and user safety) before they are adopted for application in NHSScotland (e.g. via the <a href="Health Innovation">Health Innovation</a> <a href="Procurement Portal">Procurement Portal</a> or HAI Commodities Group).

(Good Practice Point (GPP))

#### When should isolation room/cohort areas be decontaminated?

The NHSScotland cleaning specification<sup>1</sup> outlines the frequency required for decontamination of isolation rooms in NHSScotland hospitals.

The NHSScotland cleaning specification should be followed with regards to cleaning frequency of isolation rooms within NHSScotland i.e. at least once a day (Mandatory Requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

The underpinning scientific evidence is limited, however there is consensus that decontamination should take place regularly (at least once per day) and following patient discharge. <sup>8;73-75</sup> There is clearly a potential for recontamination of frequently touched surfaces during the periods between decontamination. One microbiological study monitored the recontamination of a number of sample sites and found an increase over a seven hour surveillance period<sup>74</sup>, while another study showed recontamination of bed rails occurred 2.5 – 6.5 hours following cleaning and disinfection. <sup>76</sup> This indicates that areas deemed at high risk of contamination may require more frequent cleaning to control specific pathogens. <sup>4</sup> Cleaning





frequency should therefore be increased at times of potentially high environmental and equipment contamination, and should be particularly targeted at frequently touched surfaces e.g. bed rails, door handles, toilets used by patients with gastrointestinal symptoms.

Cleaning frequency should be increased at times of potentially high environmental and equipment contamination, and should be particularly targeted at frequently touched surfaces.

(Good Practice Point (GPP))

#### What is a terminal clean and why is it required?

Terminal cleaning is defined in the Health Facilities Scotland's 2009 NHSScotland National Cleaning Services Specification which is applicable throughout Scotland wherever healthcare is delivered (i.e. NHS as well as private independent and voluntary sectors).<sup>1</sup>

A terminal clean is defined as: "a procedure required to ensure that an area has been cleaned/decontaminated following discharge of a patient with an infection (i.e. alert organism or communicable disease) in order to ensure a safe environment for the next patient."

A terminal clean is defined as: "a procedure required to ensure that an area has been cleaned/decontaminated following discharge of a patient with an infection (i.e. alert organism or communicable disease) in order to ensure a safe environment for the next patient."

(Mandatory requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

#### When should terminal cleaning be carried out?

NHSScotland National Cleaning Services Specification states that a terminal clean is required "following discharge of a patient with an infection (i.e. alert organism or communicable disease), in order to ensure a safe environment for the next patient".<sup>1</sup>

Terminal cleaning should be carried out after a patient with an alert organism or communicable disease has been discharged (or transferred), in order to ensure a safe environment for the next patient.

(Mandatory requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)





#### What additional steps are required for a terminal clean?

The NHSScotland National Cleaning Services Specification outlines the tasks required as part of a terminal clean (see <u>Appendix 1</u>).<sup>1</sup>

The NHSScotland National Cleaning Services Specification should be followed with respect to terminal cleaning.

(Mandatory requirement therefore no grade of recommendation can be made)

The National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) Revised Healthcare Cleaning Manual states that items such as bed screens, curtains and bedding should be removed prior to the room being decontaminated.<sup>2</sup>

Bed screens, curtains and bedding should be removed prior to the room/area being decontaminated.

(Good practice point (GPP))





#### 3.1 Implications for research

A review of scientific evidence on environmental decontamination should inform a revision of the NHSScotland cleaning specification to ensure it is evidence based.

Studies to investigate recontamination of the healthcare environment would inform recommendations on required frequency of decontamination.

Numerous novel technologies are marketed for the decontamination of the healthcare environment. However, the evidence base to support their use is limited. In order for NHSScotland to make informed decisions on use of novel technologies, robust experimental studies are required to assess microbiocidal effectiveness. Other factors such as cost benefit, potential hazards and user safety must also be considered.





#### References

- (1) The NHSScotland national cleaning services specification. Health Facilities Scotland 2009 April [cited 2011 Sep 22];Available from: URL: <a href="http://www.hfs.scot.nhs.uk/publications/1265120830-The%20NHSScotland%20National%20Cleaning%20Services%20Specification.pdf">http://www.hfs.scot.nhs.uk/publications/1265120830-The%20NHSScotland%20National%20Cleaning%20Services%20Specification.pdf</a>
- (2) Revised Healthcare Cleaning Manual. National Patient Safety Agency 2009 June [cited 2012 Feb 22]; Available from: URL: <a href="http://www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/resources/all-settings-specialties/?entryid45=61830&q=0%c2%accleaning%c2%acclean
- (3) Isolating patients with healthcare associated infection A summary of best practice. Department of Health 2010 [cited 2011 May 18]; Available from: URL: http://hcai.dh.gov.uk/files/2011/03/Document\_Isolation\_Best\_Practice\_FINAL\_100917.pdf
- (4) Dancer SJ. Hospital cleaning in the 21st century. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease 2011 Dec;30(12):1473-81.
- (5) Pratt RJ, Pellowe CM, Wilson JA, Loveday HP, Harper PJ, Jones SR, et al. epic2: National evidence-based guidelines for preventing healthcare-associated infections in NHS hospitals in England. Journal of Hospital Infection 2007 Feb;65 Suppl 1:S1-64.
- (6) Sehulster L, Chinn RY. Guidelines for environmental infection control in health-care facilities. Recommendations of CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). MMWR Recommendations and Reports 2003 Jun 6;52(RR-10):1-42.
- (7) Siegel JD, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, Chiarello L. Guideline for Isolation Precautions: Preventing Transmission of Infectious Agents in Healthcare Settings 2007. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention 2007 [cited 2011 Sep 19]; Available from: URL: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/2007IP/2007isolationPrecautions.html">http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/2007IP/2007isolationPrecautions.html</a>
- (8) Vonberg RP, Kuijper EJ, Wilcox MH, Barbut F, Tull P, Gastmeier P, et al. Infection control measures to limit the spread of Clostridium difficile. Clinical Microbiology & Infection 2008 May;14(Suppl 5):2-20.
- (9) Ungurs M, Wand M, Vassey M, Brien S, Dixon D, Walker J, et al. The effectiveness of sodium dichloroisocyanurate treatments against Clostridium difficile spores contaminating stainless steel. Am J Infect Control 2011 Apr;39(3):199-205.
- (10) Wheeldon LJ, Worthington T, Hilton AC, Lambert PA, Elliott TS. Sporicidal activity of two disinfectants against Clostridium difficile spores. British Journal of Nursing 2008 Mar 13;17(5):316-20.
- (11) Otter JA, Yezli S, French GL. The role played by contaminated surfaces in the transmission of nosocomial pathogens. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 2011 Jul;32(7):687-99.
- (12) Fraise A. Currently available sporicides for use in healthcare, and their limitations. Journal of Hospital Infection 2011;77(3):210-2.
- (13) Bleach wipe program vanquishes C. diff: environmental effort has huge impact. Hospital Infection Control & Prevention 2010 Jun;37(6):68-9.





- (14) Omidbakhsh N. Evaluation of sporicidal activities of selected environmental surface disinfectants: Carrier tests with the spores of Clostridium difficile and its surrogates. Am J Infect Control 2010 Nov;38(9):718-22.
- (15) Weber DJ, Rutala WA, Miller MB, Huslage K, Sickbert-Bennett E. Role of hospital surfaces in the transmission of emerging health care-associated pathogens: norovirus, Clostridium difficile, and Acinetobacter species. [Review] [60 refs]. Am J Infect Control 2010 Jun;38(5:Suppl 1):Suppl-33.
- (16) Whitehead K, McCue KA. Virucidal efficacy of disinfectant actives against feline calicivirus, a surrogate foránorovirus, in a short contact time. Am J Infect Control 2010 Feb;38(1):26-30.
- (17) Datta R, Platt R, Yokoe DS, Huang SS. Environmental cleaning intervention and risk of acquiring multidrug-resistant organisms from prior room occupants. Archives of Internal Medicine 2011;171(6):491-4.
- (18) Dellanno C, Vega Q, Boesenberg D. The antiviral action of common household disinfectants and antiseptics against murine hepatitis virus, a potential surrogate for SARS coronavirus. Am J Infect Control 2009 Oct;37(8):649-52.
- (19) Dessi A, Puddu M, Testa M, Marcialis MA, Pintus MC, Fanos V. Serratia marcescens infections and outbreaks in neonatal intensive care units. Journal of Chemotherapy 2009 Nov;21(5):493-9.
- (20) Doidge M, Allworth AM, Woods M, Marshall P, Terry M, O'Brien K, et al. Control of an outbreak of carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in Australia after introduction of environmental cleaning with a commercial oxidizing disinfectant. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2010 Apr;31(4):418-20.
- (21) Enoch DA, Summers C, Brown NM, Moore L, Gillham MI, Burnstein RM, et al. Investigation and management of an outbreak of multidrug-carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in Cambridge, UK. Journal of Hospital Infection 2008;70(2):109-18.
- (22) Jeong EK, Bae JE, Kim IS. Inactivation of influenza A virus H1N1 by disinfection process. Am J Infect Control 2010 Jun;38(5):354-60.
- (23) Lin MY, Hayden MK. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and vancomycin-resistant enterococcus: Recognition and prevention in intensive care units. Critical Care Medicine 2010;38(8 SUPPL.):S335-44.
- (24) Markogiannakis A, Fildisis G, Tsiplakou S, Ikonomidis A, Koutsoukou A, Pournaras S, et al. Cross-transmission of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii clonal strains causing episodes of sepsis in a trauma intensive care unit. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 2008;29(5):410-7.
- (25) Stiefel U, Cadnum JL, Eckstein BC, Guerrero DM, Tima MA, Donskey CJ. Contamination of hands with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus after contact with environmental surfaces and after contact with the skin of colonized patients. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 2011 Feb;32(2):185-7.
- (26) The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002, The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002, (2002).
- (27) Alfa MJ, Dueck C, Olson N, DeGagne P, Papetti S, Wald A, et al. UV-visible marker confirms that environmental persistence of Clostridium difficile spores in toilets of patients with C. difficile-





- associated diarrhea is associated with lack of compliance with cleaning protocol. BMC Infectious Diseases 2008;8:64.
- (28) Blue J, O'Neill C, Speziale P, Revill J, Ramage L, Ballantyne L. Use of a fluorescent chemical as a quality indicator for a hospital cleaning program. Canadian Journal of Infection Control 2008;23(4):216-9.
- (29) Carling PC, Von BS, Kim P, Woods C, Healthcare Environmental Hygiene Study Group. Intensive care unit environmental cleaning: an evaluation in sixteen hospitals using a novel assessment tool. Journal of Hospital Infection 2008 Jan:68(1):39-44.
- (30) Carling PC, Parry MF, Von Beheren SM, Healthcare Environmental Hygiene Study Group. Identifying opportunities to enhance environmental cleaning in 23 acute care hospitals. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2008 Jan;29(1):1-7.
- (31) Goodman ER, Platt R, Bass R, Onderdonk AB, Yokoe DS, Huang SS. Impact of an environmental cleaning intervention on the presence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and vancomycin-resistant enterococci on surfaces in intensive care unit rooms. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2008 Jul;29(7):593-9.
- (32) Boyce JM, Havill NL, Lipka A, Havill H, Rizvani R. Variations in hospital daily cleaning practices. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2010 Jan;31(1):99-101.
- (33) Carling PC, Bartley JM. Evaluating hygienic cleaning in health care settings: what you do not know can harm your patients. Am J Infect Control 2010 Jun;38(5:Suppl 1):Suppl-50.
- (34) Dancer SJ, White LF, Lamb J, Girvan EK, Robertson C. Measuring the effect of enhanced cleaning in a UK hospital: a prospective cross-over study. BMC Medicine 2009;7:28.
- (35) Giannini MA, Nance D, McCullers JA. Are toilet seats a vector for transmission of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus? Am J Infect Control 2009 Aug;37(6):505-6.
- (36) Hota B, Blom DW, Lyle EA, Weinstein RA, Hayden MK. Interventional evaluation of environmental contamination by vancomycin-resistant enterococci: failure of personnel, product, or procedure? Journal of Hospital Infection 2009 Feb;71(2):123-31.
- (37) Langer AJ, Lafaro P, Genese CA, McDonough P, Nahass R, Robertson C. Using active microbiologic surveillance and enhanced infection control measures to control an outbreak of health care-associated extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae infections-New Jersey, 2007. Am J Infect Control 2009;37(1):73-5.
- (38) Masterton RG, Galloway A, French G, Street M, Armstrong J, Brown E, et al. Guidelines for the management of hospital-acquired pneumonia in the UK: Report of the working party on hospital-acquired pneumonia of the british society for antimicrobial chemotherapy. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2008;62(1):5-34.
- (39) Morter S, Bennet G, Fish J, Richards J, Allen DJ, Nawaz S, et al. Norovirus in the hospital setting: virus introduction and spread within the hospital environment. Journal of Hospital Infection 2011 Feb;77(2):106-12.
- (40) Shaughnessy MK, Micielli RL, DePestel DD, Arndt J, Strachan CL, Welch KB, et al. Evaluation of hospital room assignment and acquisition of Clostridium difficile infection. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 2011 Mar;32(3):201-6.





- (41) Weber DJ, Rutala WA. The role of the environment in transmission of Clostridium difficile infection in healthcare facilities. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011 Mar;32(3):207-9.
- (42) Wilson APR, Smyth D, Moore G, Singleton J, Jackson R, Gant V, et al. The impact of enhanced cleaning within the intensive care unit on contamination of the near-patient environment with hospital pathogens: A randomized crossover study in critical care units in two hospitals. Critical Care Medicine 2011;39(4):651-8.
- (43) Andersen BM, Syversen G, Thoresen H, Rasch M, Hochlin K, Seljordslia B, et al. Failure of dry mist of hydrogen peroxide 5% to kill Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Journal of Hospital Infection 2010 Sep;76(1):80-3.
- (44) Barbut F, Menuet D, Verachten M, Girou E. Comparison of the efficacy of a hydrogen peroxide dry-mist disinfection system and sodium hypochlorite solution for eradication of Clostridium difficile spores. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2009 Jun;30(6):507-14.
- (45) Bartels MD, Kristoffersen K, Slotsbjerg T, Rohde SM, Lundgren B, Westh H. Environmental meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) disinfection using dry-mist-generated hydrogen peroxide. Journal of Hospital Infection 2008 Sep;70(1):35-41.
- (46) Boyce JM, Havill NL, Otter JA, McDonald LC, Adams NM, Cooper T, et al. Impact of hydrogen peroxide vapor room decontamination on Clostridium difficile environmental contamination and transmission in a healthcare setting. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2008 Aug;29(8):723-9.
- (47) Byrns G, Fuller TP. The risks and benefits of chemical fumigation in the health care environment. Journal of occupational and environmental hygiene 2011;8(2):104-12.
- (48) Davies A, Pottage T, Bennett A, Walker J. Gaseous and air decontamination technologies for Clostridium difficile in the healthcare environment. Journal of Hospital Infection 2011 Mar;77(3):199-203.
- (49) Dryden M, Parnaby R, Dailly S, Lewis T, Davis-Blues K, Otter JA, et al. Hydrogen peroxide vapour decontamination in the control of a polyclonal meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus outbreak on a surgical ward. Journal of Hospital Infection 2008;68(2):190-2.
- (50) Falagas ME, Thomaidis PC, Kotsantis IK, Sgouros K, Samonis G, Karageorgopoulos DE. Airborne hydrogen peroxide for disinfection of the hospital environment and infection control: a systematic review. Journal of Hospital Infection 2011 Jul;78(3):171-7.
- (51) Manian FA, Griesenauer S, Senkel D, Setzer JM, Doll SA, Perry AM, et al. Isolation of Acinetobacter baumannii complex and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from hospital rooms following terminal cleaning and disinfection: can we do better? Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2011 Jul;32(7):667-72.
- (52) Memarzadeh F, Olmsted RN, Bartley JM. Applications of ultraviolet germicidal irradiation disinfection in health care facilities: effective adjunct, but not stand-alone technology. Am J Infect Control 2010 Jun;38(5:Suppl 1):Suppl-24.
- (53) Otter JA, Puchowicz M, Ryan D, Salkeld JA, Cooper TA, Havill NL, et al. Feasibility of routinely using hydrogen peroxide vapor to decontaminate rooms in a busy United States hospital. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2009 Jun;30(6):574-7.





- (54) Otter JA, Yezli S, Schouten MA, van Zanten AR, Houmes-Zielman G, Nohlmans-Paulssen MK. Hydrogen peroxide vapor decontamination of an intensive care unit to remove environmental reservoirs of multidrug-resistant gram-negative rods during an outbreak. Am J Infect Control 2010 Nov;38(9):754-6.
- (55) Otter JA, Yezli S. A call for clarity when discussing hydrogen peroxide vapour and aerosol systems. Journal of Hospital Infection 2011 Jan;77(1):83-4.
- (56) Shapey S, Machin K, Levi K, Boswell TC. Activity of a dry mist hydrogen peroxide system against environmental Clostridium difficile contamination in elderly care wards. Journal of Hospital Infection 2008 Oct;70(2):136-41.
- (57) Bartley J, Streifel AJ. Design of the environment of care for safety of patients and personnel: does form follow function or vice versa in the intensive care unit?. Critical Care Medicine 2010 Aug;38(8:Suppl):S388-98.
- (58) Diab-Elschahawi M, Assadian O, Blacky A, Stadler M, Pernicka E, Berger J, et al. Evaluation of the decontamination efficacy of new and reprocessed microfiber cleaning cloth compared with other commonly used cleaning cloths in the hospital. Am J Infect Control 2010 May;38(4):289-92.
- (59) Sattar SA. Promises and pitfalls of recent advances in chemical means of preventing the spread of nosocomial infections by environmental surfaces. Am J Infect Control 2010 Jun;38(5:Suppl 1):Suppl-40.
- (60) Smith DL, Gillanders S, Holah JT, Gush C. Assessing the efficacy of different microfibre cloths at removing surface micro-organisms associated with healthcare-associated infections. Journal of Hospital Infection 2011 Jul;78(3):182-6.
- (61) Wren MWD, Rollins MSM, Jeanes A, Hall TJ, Coen PG, Gant VA. Removing bacteria from hospital surfaces: a laboratory comparison of ultramicrofibre and standard cloths. Journal of Hospital Infection 2008;70(3):265-71.
- (62) Nerandzic MM, Donskey CJ. Triggering germination represents a novel strategy to enhance killing of Clostridium difficile spores. PLoS ONE 2010;5(8):e12285.
- (63) Nerandzic MM, Cadnum JL, Pultz MJ, Donskey CJ. Evaluation of an automated ultraviolet radiation device for decontamination of Clostridium difficile and other healthcare-associated pathogens in hospital rooms. BMC Infectious Diseases 2010;10:197.
- (64) Rutala WA, Gergen MF, Weber DJ. Room decontamination with UV radiation. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2010 Oct;31(10):1025-9.
- (65) Tanner BD. Reduction in infection risk through treatment of microbially contaminated surfaces with a novel, portable, saturated steam vapor disinfection system. Am J Infect Control 2009 Feb;37(1):20-7.
- (66) Chatuev BM, Peterson JW. Analysis of the sporicidal activity of chlorine dioxide disinfectant against Bacillus anthracis (Sterne strain). Journal of Hospital Infection 2010 Feb;74(2):178-83.
- (67) Speight S, Moy A, Macken S, Chitnis R, Hoffman PN, Davies A, et al. Evaluation of the sporicidal activity of different chemical disinfectants used in hospitals against Clostridium difficile. Journal of Hospital Infection 2011 Sep;79(1):18-22.





- (68) Cheng KL, Boost MV, Chung JWY. Study on the effectiveness of disinfection with wipes against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and implications for hospital hygiene. Am J Infect Control 2011 Sep;39(7):577-80.
- (69) Siani H, Cooper C, Maillard J-Y. Efficacy of "sporicidal" wipes against Clostridium difficile. Am J Infect Control 2011;39(3):212-8.
- (70) Hedin G, Rynback J, Lore B. Reduction of bacterial surface contamination in the hospital environment by application of a new product with persistent effect. Journal of Hospital Infection 2010 Jun;75(2):112-5.
- (71) O'Gorman J, Humphreys H. Application of copper to prevent and control infection. Where are we now?. [Review]. Journal of Hospital Infection 2012 Aug;81(4):217-23.
- (72) Doan L, Forrest H, Fakis A, Craig J, Claxton L, Khare M. Clinical and cost effectiveness of eight disinfection methods for terminal disinfection of hospital isolation rooms contaminated with Clostridium difficile 027. Journal of Hospital Infection 2012 Oct;82(2):114-21.
- (73) Al-Hamad A, Maxwell S. How clean is clean? Proposed methods for hospital cleaning assessment. Journal of Hospital Infection 2008 Dec;70(4):328-34.
- (74) Aldeyab MA, McElnay JC, Elshibly SM, Hughes CM, McDowell DA, McMahon MA, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of a conventional cleaning regimen in removing methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from contaminated surfaces in an intensive care unit. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2009 Mar;30(3):304-6.
- (75) Kundrapu S, Sunkesula V, Jury LA, Sitzlar BM, Donskey CJ. Daily disinfection of high-touch surfaces in isolation rooms to reduce contamination of healthcare workers' hands. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2012 Oct;33(10):1039-42.
- (76) Attaway HH, III, Fairey S, Steed LL, Salgado CD, Michels HT, Schmidt MG. Intrinsic bacterial burden associated with intensive care unit hospital beds: effects of disinfection on population recovery and mitigation of potential infection risk. Am J Infect Control 2012 Dec;40(10):907-12.





#### Appendix 1: Tasks required for daily clean isolation room clean (adapted from NHS Scotland National Cleaning Services Specification).

Accommodation Category	Task groups (surface/categories to be cleaned)	Tasks (mandatory)	Frequency	Tasks (local requirement)
Daily clean isolation room	Hard floor	<ul> <li>Remove debris</li> <li>Suction clean</li> <li>Damp mop</li> <li>Manual Scrub</li> <li>Suction dry</li> </ul>	Monday – Sunday	> Steam Clean
	Soft floor			Steam Clean
	Sanitary fittings	> Clean	7 full/14 check	> Steam Clean
	Furnishings	<ul><li>Remove debris</li><li>Damp clean</li></ul>	Monday – Sunday	> Steam Clean
		<ul> <li>Periodic clean according to type</li> <li>Clean all wheels and castors</li> </ul>	1 full	
	Low level surfaces	Damp clean	Monday – Sunday	> Steam Clean
	High level surfaces	<ul><li>Clean ledges, pipes, direction signs</li></ul>	Monday – Sunday	> Steam Clean
	Telephones	Damp clean	Monday – Sunday	
	Paintwork – walls and doors	Remove marks	Monday – Sunday	> Steam Clean
	Glass partitions and panels and ceramic walls	> Clean	Monday – Sunday	> Steam Clean
	Curtains/screens			Steam Clean
	Window blinds			Steam Clean
	Soap and towels	Replenish supplies	7 full/14 check	
	Refuse	<ul> <li>Collect and dispose</li> <li>Clean holders/contain ers</li> <li>Replace disposable liners/</li> </ul>	7 full/14 check	> Steam Clean
	Cleaning agricument	containers	24 411	
	Cleaning equipment	Clean and dry	21 full	